



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	TORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/519,413	03/03/2000	George Francis DeStefano	ROC920000010	ROC920000010 7577	
75	90 08/15/2002				
Joan Pennington			EXAMINER		
535 North Michigan Avenue Unit 1804			VU, KI	EU D	
Chicago, IL 60	1011		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173		
			DATE MAILED: 08/15/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)	- K			
	09/519,413		DESTEFANO ET AL.	Y			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	,	Art Unit				
	Kieu D Vu		2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repleted in the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statured. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, howe ply within the statutory min i will apply and will expire t te, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timel imum of thirty (30) days v SIX (6) MONTHS from th become ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely. e mailing date of this communicati (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03</u>	March 2000 .						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fi	nal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims				is is			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ation.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election require	ment.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		-					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	• , ,	•	- ·				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			eu by the Examiner.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	Xummor.						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	IUS C. 8 119(a)-	(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gri priority andor oc		(4) 51 (1).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been rece	ived.					
Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ority documents ha ureau (PCT Rule 1	ve been received 7.2(a)).	in this National Stage				
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional applica	ition).			
a) The translation of the foreign language properties. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 🗌 5) 🗍 6) 🔲		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	. ·			

Application/Control Number: 09/519,413

Art Unit: 2173

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orton et al ("Orton", USP 6146027) and Jawahar et al ("Jawahar", USP 6256620).

Regarding claims 1 and 9, Orton teaches a method for providing dynamic assistance for disabled user interface resources comprising the steps identifying code for disabling controls (col 28, lines 24-26); changing a state of identified code from disabled to disabled with assistance (col 28, lines 45-50); and providing code for correcting a condition for disabling control (col 28, lines 53-55). Orton does not teach the providing the providing assistance text to explain why control is disabled. However, such feature is known in the art as taught by Jawahar. Jawahar teaches method and apparatus for monitoring information access which comprises the assistance icon to provide information (col 3, lines 2-3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Orton and Jawahar before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the interface method taught by Orton to include the assistance system taught by Jawahar with the motivation being to enable the system to quickly provide users necessary information.

٠

Regarding claims 2 and 10, Jawahar teaches the displaying an assistance icon for viewing by a user (col 3, lines 2-3).

Regarding claims 3 and 11, Jawahar teaches the identifying a user selection of said assistance icon and displaying said assistance text (col 3, lines 4-9).

Regarding claims 4-5 and 12, Orton teaches the step of displaying an adjustment button; the steps of identifying a user selection of said adjustment button (col 28, lines 56-59); and utilizing said code for correcting condition for disabling control, and executing an action on eligible items (col 28, lines 53-55).

Regarding claim 6, Jawahar teaches the displaying a help text for viewing by a user (col 3, lines 4-9).

Regarding claim 7, Jawahar teaches the step of providing an assistance icon with said identified code, said assistance icon for viewing by a user in a context menu of available actions for user selected items (col 3, lines 4-12).

Regarding claim 8, Orton teaches a computer system having apparatus for providing dynamic assistance for disabled user interface resources comprising a processor 10, a memory 14 and 16, a display 36, a bus 12 connecting said processor. said memory and said display, a GUI dynamic assistance program, a graphical user interface 22 including a disabled with assistance menu item; said GUI dynamic assistance program performing the steps of identifying code for disabling controls (col 28, lines 24-26); changing a state of identified code from disabled to disabled with assistance (col 28, lines 45-50); providing code for correcting a condition for disabling control (col 28, lines 53-55) and displaying an adjustment button (col 28, lines 56-59). Orton does not teach the providing the providing assistance text to explain why control



ppiisation/control Number, 09/5

-Art Unit: 2173

is disabled and displaying an assistance icon for viewing by a user. However, such features are known in the art as taught by Jawahar. Jawahar teaches method and apparatus for monitoring information access which comprises the assistance icon to provide information (col 3, lines 2-3), the displaying an assistance icon for viewing by a user (col 3, lines 2-3), the identifying a user selection of said assistance icon and displaying said assistance text (col 3, lines 4-9). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of Orton and Jawahar before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the interface method taught by Orton to include the assistance system taught by Jawahar with the motivation being to enable the system to quickly provide users necessary information.

- 3. The prior art made of record on form PTO-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action. The documents cited therein teach about help systems in GUI which relate to the claimed invention.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kieu D. Vu whose telephone number is (703-605-1232). The examiner can normally be reached on Mon Fri from 7:00AM to 3:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cabeca, can be reached on (703-308-3116).

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7238 (After Final Communication)

or

(703)-746-7239 (Official Communications)

Application/Control Number: 09/519,413

-Art Unit: 2173

(For Status Inquiries, draft communication) (703)-746-7240

and / or:

(703)-746-5639

(use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for

"INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper / amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions)

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703-305-3900).

Kieu D. Vu

August 9, 2002.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100